1	Becker County Board of Adjustments
2	July 9 <sup>th</sup> , 2020
3	
4 5	<b>Present:</b> Members: Chairman Jim Bruflodt, Roger Boatman, Lee Kessler, Delvaughn King, Michael Sharp, Zoning Administrator Kyle Vareberg and E911/Zoning Technician Rachel
6	Bartee.
7	Daitee.
8	Chairman Jim Bruflodt called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. E911/Zoning Technician Rachel
9	Bartee recorded the minutes.
10	
11	Introductions were given.
12	
13	Kessler made a motion to approve the minutes for the June 11 <sup>th</sup> , 2020 minutes. King seconded.
14	The motion passed unanimously. All in favor, Motion carried.
15	
16	Bruflodt explained the protocol for the meeting and Kessler read the criteria for which a
17	variance could be granted.
18	
19	OLD BUSINESS:
20	
21	FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS: APPLICANT: Peter and Ellen Kaiser 5017 Skyline Dr
22 23	Minneapolis, MN 55436 Project Location: 34000 Cedar Lake Rd Park Rapids, MN 56572 LEGAL LAND DESCRIPTION: Tax ID Number: 34.0008.501; APPLICATION AND
24	DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Request a variance to construct a dwelling to be located at
25	ninety (90) feet from the OHW of the lake, deviating from the required setback of one hundred
26	fifty (150) feet from a natural environment development lake, due to setback issues. Tabled by
27 28	applicant at the June 11th, 2020 Hearing.
28 29	Vareberg presented the application.
30	vareberg presented the appreation.
31	Peter and Ellen Kaiser and contractor Mike Brandstrom were present virtually/on the phone.
32	Peter explained his application to build a dwelling closer than 150' from the OHW of a Natural
33	environment lake. Kaiser explained that during the Board tour they spoke with contractor Mike
34	Brandstrom who was present. Kaiser stated he was willing to modify the request from 85' to
35	120' feet from the lake.
36	
37	Bruflodt asked what the hardship is. Kaiser stated there is a ridge preventing them from locating
38	it elsewhere. Bruflodt asked if the ridge could be taken down. Kaiser stated they would agree to
39	move it back to 120' to the top of the ridge. Bruflodt asked why they could not meet the 150'
40	setback. Kaiser stated there is a ridge where the road is and the other side drops into a marshy
41	area, they do not want to build in the marshy area.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Boatman stated there is no practical difficulty and there is plenty of room on the lot to build 43 elsewhere. Kaiser replied it would be destructive to the topography of the lot to dig into the hill. 44 Bruflodt asked destructive to what. Kaiser replied it would be destructive and require much more 45 effort to move that much earth around when there is already a natural location for the building to 46 47 be placed. Bruflodt stated then this is an economic hardship/issue. Kaiser stated it would be a 48 headache to disrupt this much land when there is a natural building location closer to the lake, and the proposal would not negatively impact the lake or any neighbors. Bruflodt replied the 49 Ordinance states you must be 150' back from the OHW on this lake. 50

51

Sharp stated they received communication from the Two Inlets Township Board stating they feel 52 the building should be moved to the north and should meet the 150' setback. Sharp asked if the 53 building can be moved to the north, noting there is ample real estate to move the structure. 54 Kaiser stated as you go to the north along the lake it drops off, this is an easier spot. Kessler 55 56 asked why the building cannot be moved to another location. Kaiser replied that it is possible with enough money, however there is a natural location to place it at 120'. Kessler asked when 57 he bought the lot. Kaiser replied a few years ago. Kessler asked if he was aware when he bought 58 the lot that it was on a natural environment lake. Kaiser replied yes, he was, but did not realize he 59 60 would not be able to build in this location. Sharp stated there is plenty of high ground on the lot to build that would meet the setbacks. 61

- 62
- 63 Vareberg read written correspondence.
- 64
- 65 Letter from Two Inlets Township Board dated June 3<sup>rd,</sup> 2020:

I am again writing on behalf of the Two Inlets Township Board concerning the proposed Kaiser project at 34000 Cedar Lake Road Park Rapids.

We believe there is adequate space on this property to place their home within current county codes. Fill can be used to properly level the sight or a move of the structure to the north a little would give them plenty of room. The property is large and we see no hardship in following current codes.

David Keller

Two Inlets Township Supervisor

67 Letter from Two Inlets Township Board dated July 7, 2020:

I am again writing on behalf of the Two Inlets Township Board concerning the proposed Kaiser project at 34000 Cedar Lake Road Park Rapids.

We believe there is adequate space on this property to place their home within current county codes. Fill can be used to properly level the sight or a move of the structure to the north a little would give them plenty of room. The property is large and we see no hardship in following current codes.

68 69

66

70 Letter from Rodger Hemphill, DNR area Hydrologist dated June 11, 2020:

Kyle,

The DNR recommends denying the request for a variance to place a dwelling 60 feet from the OHWL of Hungry Man Lake in Becker County. The structure setback for this relatively undeveloped, natural environment (NE) lake is 150 feet. If this variance is granted it would put the dwelling in the shore impact zone for the lake.

In evaluating the facts and developing findings for a variance, all the following statutory criteria must be satisfied, in addition to any local criteria:

- Is the variance in harmony with the purposes and intent of the ordinance?
- Is the variance consistent with the comprehensive plan?
- Are there unique circumstances to the property not created by the landowner?
- Will the variance, if granted, alter the essential character of the locality?
- Does the proposal put the property to use in a reasonable manner?

The last three criteria address practical difficulties. Economic considerations alone cannot create practical difficulties. If the applicant demonstrates that all criteria are met, then the variance may be granted. Variances should be rare and for reasons of exceptional circumstance.

The application submitted by the landowner does not adequately address any of the criteria to establish practical difficulty and therefore the request should be denied.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment,

## Rodger Hemphill

Area Hydrologist | Ecological & Water Resources

Minnesota Department of Natural Resources 14583 County Highway 19 Detroit Lakes, MN 56501 Phone: 218-846-8484 Cell: 218-849-8464 Email: <u>Rodger.Hemphill@state.mn.us</u> <u>mndnr.gov</u>

## DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

71 72

73 Letter from Rodger Hemphill, DNR area Hydrologist dated July 7<sup>th</sup>, 2020:

Kyle,

The DNR recommends denying the request for a variance to place a dwelling 90 feet from the OHWL of Hungry Man Lake in Becker County. The structure setback for this relatively undeveloped, natural environment (NE) lake is 150 feet.

In evaluating the facts and developing findings for a variance, all the following statutory criteria must be satisfied, in addition to any local criteria:

- Is the variance in harmony with the purposes and intent of the ordinance?
- Is the variance consistent with the comprehensive plan?
- Are there unique circumstances to the property not created by the landowner?
- Will the variance, if granted, alter the essential character of the locality?
- Does the proposal put the property to use in a reasonable manner?

The last three criteria address practical difficulties. Economic considerations alone cannot create practical difficulties. If the applicant demonstrates that all criteria are met, then the variance may be granted. Variances should be rare and for reasons of exceptional circumstance.

The application submitted by the landowner has changed the distance from the lake but still **does not** adequately address any of the criteria to establish practical difficulty, therefore the request should be denied.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment,

## Rodger Hemphill

Area Hydrologist | Ecological & Water Resources

## Minnesota Department of Natural Resources

14583 County Highway 19 Detroit Lakes, MN 56501 Phone: 218-846-8484 Cell: 218-849-8464 Email: <u>Rodger.Hemphill@state.mn.us</u> <u>mndnr.gov</u>

- 74
- 75
- 76 Letter from neighbor Don Eischens, June 5<sup>th</sup>, 2020:
- 77

Dow EISCHENS 57426 Co Huz, 44 Purk Rudpilos Mun

R.E. Untrinned Peter Kinisce TAX +D 340008.501 Section 02 Township 141 RANGE 036 N.E.4, NEW Gow Lot 1 Two INlets Township FIRST item is the setback issue There shoulded be a setback issue. There is a Lot of LAND ON the acevinge to build on so he decorrish have to instringe on the Shore line of a pristing envincential lake.

Wher Questions Review W1. There 1553 ANY run off And Messheric reason, There is no Nergubors. The lake is surrounded by Starte Land. So everbody is evaluated to use it.

#Z. Acordinar to VATHAND to will be A Log. Catlow Structure. Acordinar to dictionary Catlow is A modest. Structure So when does A 52' 2'90' structure is A modest structure the over 7,000 severe feet over

78

The statement that #3 me the Dethers Most is "AN order to Achieve we want what 15-6 LAVO to get. #1 move # Lot of dirt +0 lake FACING Droperty - MOUING the twoold d14 Cituse foundation And Compact warsa Less for building on. The SAND + GARAVES 86 R 15 SUBSOIL thrs Not So Should be HAT ISSUE #41

The fucot UNGo NO MOTNESS the 15 50 dues Structure £4 4 M455'0 P IN. doesn't. No 14

Æ for as the Read has raged This pace to the public Open 45 LONG As 1 NAVE\_ hed IN ther area hife. ALL m 60 the has hav there bACT before. WAG bern. - 50 what 15 -the U Niquest the 1000.

ALL the Registers above should result in A denoral of the UAVIANCE

79

DON ESCHENSE

80 All letters are on file in the Becker County Zoning Office.

81

No one spoke for or against the application. At this time, testimony was closed. ChairmanBruflodt opened the matter for discussion by the Board.

84

85 Bruflodt advised Kaiser that he is allowed to table his application at any time.

86

Bruflodt stated this is a massive strucutre and will impact the view on the lake. Kessler stated they have heard lots of measurements to the proposed OHW setback, first 60', 85' and now 120', do we really know where the OHW is located, can the DNR confirm it. Vareberg replied no, the OHW has not been established on this lake. Bruflodt replied, therefore we have to measure from the waters edge. Kessler replied 120' gets them 4 feet to the backside of the road, did anyone measure to 150' to see what the topography would be at that distance. How steep would it get at 150'. Bruflodt stated the Board are not designers. Sharp replied there was a substantial slope at 94 that point. Boatman stated he is not in favor of the project, this is not a modest structure, it is 95 going to be imposing all around the lake, that is not the intent of the Ordinance. Bruflodt replied 96 moving the structure back another 20-30' to meet the 150' setback is not that much further to 97 move back. Sharp stated this request does not meed the forth requirement of a variance, this is 98 not a circumstance unique to the property, there are other locations on the property he can build, 99 there is no practical difficulty here. Kessler stated he agreed, this is not a modest request and it will impose on the lake.

101

Motion: Boatman made a motion to **deny** a variance to construct a dwelling to be located at ninety (90) feet from the OHW of the lake, deviating from the required setback of one hundred fifty (150) feet from an natural environment development lake, due to setback issues. Denied due to the fact there is no practical difficulty or hardship, the structure would be imposing on the lake, the circumstance is not unique to the property, and the lot is of adequate size to meet the required setback.

108

109 Sharp second. Boatman, Sharp, Kessler were in favor. King opposed Motion carried.
110 Variance denied.

111

112 **NEW BUSINESS:** 

113

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS: APPLICANT: Jeffrey & Amy McKinnon 4377 46<sup>th</sup>
 Ave S Fargo, ND 58104 Project Location: 15238 E Munson Dr Detroit Lakes, MN 56501
 LEGAL LAND DESCRIPTION: Tax ID Number: 19.1151.000; APPLICATION AND
 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Request a variance to construct a second story addition to an
 existing non-conforming detached garage, to be located at four (4) feet from the side property
 line, due to setback issues and lot size.

120

121 Vareberg presented the application.

122

Amy McKinnon was present virtually/on the phone. McKinnon explained the existing cinder block structure is non-conforming at 4' from the side property line and they want to rebuild in the same location. McKinnon stated the current garage is sunken with a dirt floor.

126

Bruflodt asked what the hardship is. McKinnon replied the current garage leaks, it is leaning in,
it is rotten, there is water damage and everything in it gets wet and dirty making it a useless
space at this time.

130

Boatman asked if it was going to be used for storage only. McKinnon replied yes, the back portion will be for my husband's woodworking shop, the loft would be to store lake toys and kavaks.

134

- 135 No one spoke for or against the application. There was no written correspondence for or against
- the application. At this time, testimony was closed. Chairman Bruflodt opened the matter fordiscussion by the Board.
- 138
- Kessler stated the building is in disrepair and could use an improvement. Kessler noted they arestaying in the same footprint just increasing the height to add a loft level.
- 141
- Bruflodt asked if the roof would be asphalt or steel. McKinnon replied it would be asphalted tomatch the house.
- 144

145 Motion: Sharp made a motion to approve a variance to construct a second story addition to an 146 existing non-conforming detached garage, to be located at four (4) feet from the side property 147 line, due to setback issues and lot size, due to the fact the footprint will remain the same, with the

- stipulation stormwater must be controlled with gutters, downspouts and french drains.
- 149
- 150 Boatman second. All in favor. Motion carried. Variance approved.
- 151

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS: APPLICANT: Mark Jennen 19177 Sherman Shores Rd
 Audubon, MN 56511 Project Location: 19177 Sherman Shores Rd Audubon, MN 56511
 LEGAL LAND DESCRIPTION: Tax ID Number: 02.0310.000; Section 33 Township 139
 Range 042; SHERMAN SHORES LOT 3; APPLICATION AND DESCRIPTION OF
 PROJECT: Request a variance to construct a shed to be located at ten (10) feet from the side
 property line, due to setback issues.

- 158
- 159 Vareberg presented the application.
- 160

Mark Jennen was present. Jennen explained his application for a shed to be located 10' from the side property line. Jennen stated the hardship is due to the fact the property is zoned agricultural which requires a 20' setback, where a residential lot requires only a 10' setback.

164

Sam Rufer joined the meeting on the phone/virtually. Rufer was present on behalf of neighbor, 165 Julie Archer of Archer Family Revocable Living Trust, owner of parcel 020311001, 19155 166 167 Sherman Shores Rd. Rufer stated they are not in favor of the proposed project. Rufer stated the 168 location is not suitable, it is too close to the property line, it will impact the natural vegetation, and it will negatively impact the Archer's property value. Rufer stated the structure is not in 169 character with the area/neighborhood, noting these types of structures should be built in 170 agricultural areas, away from the lake. Rufer stated this is a very residential area with mostly 171 cabins. Rufer stated this structure would be the first of its kind in the area. Rufer noted other 172 similar structures in this area are small detached ones. Rufer stated there is only one other similar 173 structure in the area. Rufer stated the hardship was created by the landowner because of where 174 175 they placed the drainfield, not due to some topography issue. Rufer stated this is a want not a 176 need. Rufer requested the Board deny the application.

177

No one spoke for the application. There was no written correspondence for or against the
application. At this time, testimony was closed. Chairman Bruflodt opened the matter for
discussion by the Board.

181

182 Boatman asked Jennen what the proposed dimensions were. Jennen replied 32'x50' or 32'x48' with a 12' wall height. Jennen stated he was unsure of the exact overall height as he did not 183 know the exact roof pitch at this time. Boatman asked if he would be willing to make it smaller. 184 185 Jennen stated it will look like a residential building with siding not a steel building. Jenner stated the Archer's other neighbor built a similar 30'x40' pole building that is steel sided. Kessler 186 stated this property is zoned agricultural, however if it was zoned residential the setback would 187 be 10'. Kessler stated it seems to him there are lots of similar buildings in this area, in fact there 188 was one across the road, adding he felt this was an acceptable request. Bruflodt stated this is not 189 190 an excessive size. Bruflodt stated the Planning Commission typically does not like to spot zone. Bruflodt stated this land is being used residentially and not agriculturally. 191

192

Motion: Kessler made a motion to **approve** a variance, to construct a shed to be located at ten (10) feet from the side property line, due to setback issues, due to the fact that it is the best placement on the lot, it is a minimal request, the practical difficulty is not due to the land owner, the hardship is due to the fact the lot is zoned agricultural, if it was zoned how it was being used (residentially) the setback would be ten (10) feet.

198

199 King second. All in favor. Motion carried. Variance approved.

200

FORTH ORDER OF BUSINESS: APPLICANT: Sharon & Dale Wilhelmi 402 7th Ave E 201 Thompson, ND 58278 Project Location: 35305 325th Ave Ogema, MN 56569 LEGAL LAND 202 DESCRIPTION: Tax ID Number: 20.0399.000; Section 26 Township 142 Range 040; PT 203 GOVT LOT 6 BEG 114.87' W & 596.18' SW OF MC #22;TH CONT SW 69.23',S 57.8',SE 204 145.77' TO LK, NELY AL LK TO PT SE OF POB, TH NW 138.52' TO POB, APPLICATION 205 206 **AND DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT:** Request a variance to construct a detached garage to be located at five (5) feet from the ROW of a township road, deviating from the required setback of 207 twenty (20) feet from the ROW on a dedicated township road and to be ninety-one (91) feet from 208 the OHW, deviating from the required setback of one hundred (100) feet from a recreational 209 210 development lake, due to setback issues and lot size.

211

212 Vareberg presented the application.

213

Sharon & Dale Wilhelmi were present. Wilhelmi explained they are moving it back as far as they can because of the hill, the lake and the road. Wilhelmi stated they are willing to modify their request to be located at 87' from the OHW as discussed during the Board tour to allow more room from the ROW. Wilhelmi also agreed to modify their request to be located 10.2' from the ROW. 219

220 Sharp noted gutters, to downspouts to french drains should be installed to control stormwater 221 runoff.

222

No one spoke for or against the application. There was no written correspondence for or againstthe application. At this time, testimony was closed. Chairman Bruflodt opened the matter for

- discussion by the Board.
- 226

Kessler stated he was concerned the origional request is too close to the ROW, but was in favor
to move it back 5' to be at 10' from the ROW. Burflodt agreed it is difficult to meet setbacks on
Strawberry Lake.

230

231 Motion: Sharp made a motion to **approve** a variance, as modified to construct a detached garage 232 to be located at ten (10) feet, modified from the requested five (5) feet from the ROW of a township road, deviating from the required setback of twenty (20) feet from the ROW on a 233 dedicated township road and to be eighty-seven (87) feet, modified from the requested ninety-234 one (91) feet from the OHW, deviating from the required setback of one hundred (100) feet from 235 236 a recreational development lake, due to setback issues and lot size, due to the fact it is a reasonable request, it is on the best placement of the lot, and the proposal conforms with the 237 neighborhood, with the stipulation stormwater is controlled with gutters, to downspouts, to 238 239 french drains.

240

241 Boatman second. All in favor. Motion carried. Variance approved.

242

243 FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS: APPLICANT: Gerald and Gloria Heldt 211 Schooner Lane Duck Key, FL 33050 Project Location: 56885 290th St Park Rapids, MN 56470 LEGAL 244 LAND DESCRIPTION: Tax ID Number: 34.0155.000; Section 35 Township 141 Range 036; 245 PT GOVT LOT 1: COMM NE COR, S 33.27', WLY AL RD 441.43' TO POB; WLY AL RD 246 685.06', S 86' TO FOOLS LK, NELY & ELY AL LK 637.29', N 523' TO POB. 247 APPLICATION AND DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Request a variance to construct an 248 attached garage to a non-conforming dwelling, to be located at ninety-three (93) feet from the 249 OHW of the lake, deviating from the required setback of one hundred fifty (150) feet from an 250 natural environment lake, due to setback issues. 251

252

253 Vareberg presented the application.

254

Gerald Heldt was present. Heldt explained his application of an attached garage to a nonconforming dwelling, to be located at ninety-three (93) feet from the OHW of the lake. Heldt stated he purchased the property 2 years ago. Heldt explained that since they purchased the lot his wife has had a stroke, therefore there is a need for an attached garage on the back of the house so they can load and unload the wheelchair. Heldt stated the house is 60' from the lake and the house is 40' deep, however when the Zoning Office came out to measure the nearest point to the OHW they got 93'. Bruflodt asked what will be done with the existing garage. Heldt replied they will leave it there and use it for storage. Bruflodt noted with 4.1 acres they have a low impervious coverage.

- 264
- Vareberg read a letter from Jed Knuttila dated 6/29/2020.

I received your variance request and am responding to the Heldt request. Being a biologist, my main concern in the distance from the new structure to the lake. The original ordinance is in place to protect the lake. And I thing you should stick with the existing ordinance.

- 266267 Letter is on file in the Becker County Zoning Office.
- 268

No one spoke for or against the application. There was no written correspondence for the application. At this time, testimony was closed. Acting Chairman Boatman opened the matter for discussion by the Board.

272

Kessler stated that the water is currently high on that lake, it is probably 15' further now. Kessler noted the house is in the shore impact zone however the garage addition is in the back of the house. Bruflodt asked if the house could be moved. King replied no, not likely. Kessler stated it is uncertian where the SIZ really is and the OHW cannot be calculated due to fluctuating water levels.

278

Motion: Kessler made a motion to **approve** a variance to construct an attached garage to a nonconforming dwelling, to be located at ninety-three (93) feet from the OHW of the lake, deviating from the required setback of one hundred fifty (150) feet from an natural environment lake, due to setback issues, with the stipulation stormwater is controlled with gutters, to downspouts, to french drains.

284

285 Sharp second. All in favor. Motion carried. Variance approved.

286

287 SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS:

288

Informational Meeting. The next informational meeting is scheduled for Thursday, August 6th,
 2020 at 8:00 a.m. in the 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Meeting Room of the Original Courthouse. As there was no
 further business to come before the Board, Kessler made a motion to adjourn the meeting. King
 seconded. All in favor. Motion carried. Meeting adjourned.

293 294

ATTEST

295 Chairman Jim Bruflodt

296 297 Kyle Vareberg, Planning and Zoning Administrator