1 2	Becker County Planning Commission January 10th, 2017		
3			
4	Members Present: Chairman Jim Bruflodt, Vice Chairman John Lien, Harry Johnston,		
5	County Commissioner Larry Knutson, Mary Seaberg, Jeff Moritz, Dave Blomseth, Ray		
6	Thorkildson, Jim Kaiser, Mary Seaworth, Bob Merit, Planning and Zoning Supervisor		
7	Dylan Ramstad Skoyles and Planning and Zoning Technician Kyle Vareberg.		
8			
9	Chairman Jim Bruflodt called the Planning Commission meeting to order at 7:00 pm.		
10	Planning and Zoning Technician Kyle Vareberg recorded minutes. Intros were given.		
11			
12	Chairman Jim Bruflodt explained the protocol for the meeting and stated that the		
13	recommendations of the Planning Commission would be forwarded to the County Board		
14	of Commissioners for final action on January 17 th , 2017.		
15			
16	Jim Kaiser made a motion to approve the minutes for December 13th, 2016. Dave		
17 18	Blomseth seconded. The motion passed.		
10 19	Old Business: None		
20	Old Business. None		
21	New Business:		
22	Tev Dusiness.		
23	1. FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS: APPLICANTS: Minnkota Power 1822 Mill		
24	Road Grand Forks, ND Project Location: 11155 Co Highway No. 8 LEGAL		
25	LAND DESCRIPTION: Part of Gov. Lot 1 of Section 30 Township 139 N.		
26	Range 43 W. APPLICATION AND DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Request		
27	a Conditional Use permit to install a substation to improve eletrical quality and		
28	reliability for residents in Becker and Clay Counties.		
29			
30	Dylan Ramstad Skoyles introduced the application. Dylan referred to the findings		
31	provided by Minnkota Power and advised the board he agreed with the findings.		
32	D' II CC C.W. 1 . D 1 . 1.4		
33	Brian Hoffart a representive of Minnkota Power introduced the company to the board. He		
34 25	explained the substation is being built for Wild Rice Electric. Brian stated the substation		
35 36	will distribute electricity to Clay and Becker County.		
37	Jim Kaiser asked if the substation would provide power to Baer Hatchery.		
38	This Raiser asked if the substation would provide power to Baci Tratenery.		
39	Casey Borboa also a representive of Minnkota Power stated yes it would.		
40	Casey 2 0100 a and a representative of instantation for the state of t		
41	Dylan presented one letter from the board of Lake Park Township it read:		
42			
43	To whom it may concern		
44	We the town board of Lake Park township, had our monthly meeting on Jan 9th,		
45	are in favor of the substation installation at 11155 Co Hwy 8, Lake Park.		

46	We	e are all for the improvement of the quality and reliability of our electric	
47		vice.	
48			
49	Th	anks	
50	Joh	hn Madson , Clerk	
51		ke Park township board	
52		1	
53			
54	John Lien	said all of his questions were answered by the findings provided by Minnkota	
55		en stated he was in favor of the substation.	
56	10,,01, 21,		
57 58	Jim Kaisei	r asked when the substation installation would be completed.	
59	Brian state	ed pending status of funding, the project would begin in March and end no later	
60	then November 1 st .		
61	then rove		
62	There was	no further discussion by the Commission.	
63	There was	no further discussion by the Commission.	
64	MOTION	: Jim Kaiser made a motion to aprove the request for a Conditional Use	
65		install a substation to improve eletrical quality and reliability for residents	
66		r and Clay Counties and adopted the following findings provided by	
67	Minnkota	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
68	MIIIIKUta	1 tower.	
69	1	Affect on surrounding property. That the conditional use will not harm the	
70	1.	use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes	
71		already permitted, nor substantially diminish or impair property values within	
72		the immediate vicinity.	
73		No, anticipate keeping some trees for screening purposes, see site plan for	
74		tree areas to remain. Adjacent land owners will not be harmed and will	
7 4 75			
		be able to use their land as currently zoned without impact. Will not	
76 77		substantially implact land values.	
	2	Affect on and only consistent development. That establishing the conditional	
78 70	2.	Affect on orderly, consistent development. That establishing the conditional	
79		use will not impede the normal, orderly development and improvement of	
80		surrounding vacant property for uses predominant in the area.	
81		No affect on orderly development surrounding area is zoned agricultural.	
82		Adjacent lands are U.S. Fish and Wildlife, agricultural land, and	
83		recreational/hunting land. Closest residence is 2,500 feet to the Northeast	
84		and is screened by trees.	
85	2	Adamsta facilities. That adamsta utilities access made durings and other	
86	3.	Adequate facilities. That adequate utilities, access roads, drainage and other	
87		necessary facilities have been or are being provided.	
88		Yes, county road No. 8 is access point and drainage is to the Northeast to	
89		roadway ditch. Site does not require sanitary or water. Driveway	
90		approach permit will be obtained by County Highway Department after	
91		CUP approval.	

92	
93	4. Adequate parking. That adequate measures have been or will be taken to
94	provide sufficient off-street parking and loading space to serve the proposed
95	use.
96	Yes, only temporary parking shall be needed after construction for
97	inspections, maintenance, and meter readings. Site normally will not
98	contain any human activity.
99	
100	
101	5. Not a nuisance. That adequate measures have been or will be taken to prevent
102	or control offensive odor, fumes, dust, noise, and vibration, so none of these
103	will constitute a nuisance, and to control lighted signs and other lights so that
104	no disturbance to neighboring properties will result.
105	It will not be a nuisance. No odor, dust, or fumes will be created from the
106	substation. Minor noise from electrical substation will be created.
107	Typically noise levels at 200 feet from substation are not noticeable.
108	
109	6. Additional criteria for shoreland areas. In Shoreland areas, it shall be found
110	that adequate measures have been or will be taken to assure that:
111	A. Pollution. Soil erosion or other possible pollution of public waters will
112	be prevented, both during and after construction;
113	Not Applicable
114	
115	B. View from public waters. That the visibility of structures and other
116	facilities as viewed from public waters will be limited;
117	Not Applicable
118	
119	C. Adequate utilities. That the site is adequate for water supply and on-
120	site sewage treatment;
121	Not Applicable
122	
123	D. Watercraft. That the types, uses, and number of watercrafts that the
124	project will generate can safely accommodated.
125	Not Applicable
126	
127	Jeff Moritz seconded the motion. All in favor. Motion carried.
128	
129	
130	2. SECOND ORDER OF BUINESS: APPLICANT: K and A Enterprise 521
131	Washington Ave. Detroit Lakes, MN Project Location: 12144 County Highway
132	4 LEGAL LAND DESCRIPTION: Part of SW 1/4 of SW 1/4 of section 17
133	APPLICATION AND DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Request a Prelimnary
134	Plat for a common interest community with 15 lots.
135	
136	Dylan Ramstad Skoyles introduced the request for a Preliminary Plat for a common
137	interest community with 15 lots.

138 139 Scott Walz from Meadow Land Surveying spoke on behalf of K and A Enterprise. Scott 140 stated the storage unit would consist of 15 units, that there will be no commercial 141 activity, no well or septic system will exist, and that the Preliminary Plat conforms to the 142 Zoning Ordinance. 143 144 Jim Bruflodt asked if there are plans for the Northern portion of the property. Scott stated 145 no, it will continue to be farmed. 146 147 Jim Kaiser asked if there was an existing road approach off of County Road 4. Scott said 148 no, they will need to apply for a permit. 149 150 Dylan stated he received two letters pertaining to the plat. A letter from American 151 Properties, Inc. read: 152 153 Dear Becker County Planning and Zoning, 154 155 I, Mike Staber, President of American Properties, Inc. would like to submit my 156 comments on the proposed project by K & A Enterprises, LLP. I own the 157 adjoining 10 acres and building to the west (12034 County Highway 4, Lake Park) of this proposed project. The only two concerns that I had, K & A 158 159 Enterprises, LLP addressed, and moving forward I do not have any further 160 concerns about the project. As I am unable to attend the meeting I just wanted to give my formal letter of having no objections to the proposed project. I am 161 162 looking forward to having K & A Enterprises, LLP as neighbors. 163 164 Sincerely, 165 166 Mike Staber 167 American Properties, Inc. 168 169 The second letter read by Dylan was received from Central Specialties, Inc. it read: 170 171 RE: K & A Enterprises LLP Preliminary Plat Proposal 172 173 Dear Dylan: 174 175 I am writing on behalf of Minnerath Investments, LLC (Central Specialties, Inc., 176 Operator), with regard to the Notice of Public Hearing by the Planning 177 Commission for preliminary plat approval as proposed by K & A Enterprises 178 *LLP. The proposed site location is 12144 County Highway 4. Lake Park, Mn.* 179 180 Please be advised that Minnerath Investments LLC owns property located to the 181 north of the proposed plat, in the NW quarter of Sec. 17. Our property is the site 182 of a permitted and active gravel pit which includes the permitted use of operating 183 a Hot Mix Asphalt plant. While we are not currently mining gravel or operating

184 on the site at this time, we reserve the right to pursue such use in the future if and 185 when the demand for aggregate products, including hot mix asphalt, arises. 186 187 We have no objection to the proposed subdivision, but we would like to stress that 188 we intend to operate our gravel pits when needed for area road construction 189 projects. We trust that the permitted uses of our property and that of K & A190 Enterprises, LLP is such that the co-existence of each is both neighborly and 191 mutually beneficial. 192 193 Sincerely, 194 Susan Vieregge 195 Environmental Manager 196 Central Specialties, Inc. 197 198 Jim Kaiser asked the location of the pit. Jim Bruflodt and Scott Walz explained the 199 location. 200 201 Jim Kaiser pointed out there was no obvious concerns from any neighbors because none 202 were present. Kaiser stated there are adequate trees for screening, and said he has no 203 objection to the request. 204 205 There was no further discussion by the Commission. 206 207 MOTION: Dave Blomseth made a motion to approve the request for a Preliminary 208 Plat for a common interest community with 15 lots. John Lien seconded the motion. 209 All in favor. Motion carried. 210 211 3. THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS: APPLICANT: Wallace Danielson 28200 212 County Highway 21 Project Location: 28200 County highway 21 LEGAL 213 LAND DESCRIPTION: Se 1/4 of SE1/4 of section 34 APPLICATION AND **DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT:** Request a Conditional Use permit to have a 214 215 feedlot over 500 head. 216 217 Dylan Ramstad Skoyles introduced the request for a Conditional Use Permit to have a 218 feedlot over 500 head. 219 220 Jim Bruflodt made note that Wallace Danielson was not present to answer any question 221 from the board or public. 222 223 Larry Knutson stated he found no issues with the request. 224 225 John Lien asked about animal units. 226 227 Dylan explained that a feed lot of over 500 animal units requires a Conditional Use 228 Permit and this Conditional Use Permit will have a cap of 1276 animal units.

- Harry Johnston asked the distance notifications were sent to surrounding neighbors.
- 231 Dylan explained and said the distance did not reach to the town of Richwood.

232

- 233 Jim Kaiser said he spoke with Roger Winter, a neighbor. Winter said the feed lot once
- belonged to Danielson's father and has been in existence for a long time. Winter had no
- 235 issue with the feed lot. Kaiser also stated the MPCA is involved in the process of
- permitting the feed lot and monitors the river involved.

237

238 Larry Knutson asked if the property totaled 520 acres. The answer given was yes.

239

Dave Blomseth made clear that the feed lot permit process is extensive and he found no issues with the request.

242

243 Knutson asked if the feed lot was in a watershed district.

244

Jim Kaiser mentioned the clean water act and how other dairy farmers in the area were involved and regulated in the act.

247

No letters were received in favor or against the request.

249

250 There was no further discussion by the Commission.

251

MOTION: John Lien made a motion to approve the request for a Conditional Use permit to have a feedlot over 500 head and adopted the staff findings of:

- 255 Staff report for Wallace Danielson
- Wallace Danielson has submitted a Conditional Use Application for a feed lot of
- over 500 cattle. He plans on having 1,276 head of cattle. Chapter eight section
- eleven part F of the zoning ordinance outlines six findings and criteria for approving a conditional use. Staff has reviewed the application and makes the
- 260 following recommendations:
- following recommendations:
- 261 1. Affect on surrounding property. That the conditional use will not harm the
- use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes
- already permitted, nor substantially diminish or impair property values within the
- immediate vicinity.The properties in the properti
- The properties in the immediate vicinity are farm fields and will be able to continue with uses already permitted. There are already two feedlots in the area and this will
- just combine the two.
- 268 2. Affect on orderly, consistent development. That establishing the conditional
- 269 use will not impede the normal, orderly development and improvement of
- 270 surrounding vacant property for uses predominant in the area.
- The use prominently in the area is Ag with the exemption of Richwood slightly more
- than a mile away.
- 273 3. Adequate facilities. That adequate utilities, access roads, drainage and other
- 274 necessary facilities have been or are being provided.

- The facilities needed such as road and utilities are already in place and those for drainage will provided per the MPCA permit.
- 4. Adequate parking. That adequate measures have been or will be taken to provide sufficient off-street parking and loading space to serve the proposed use.
- 279 There is already the parking needed.
- 5. Not a nuisance. That adequate measures have been or will be taken to prevent or control offensive odor, fumes, dust, noise and vibration, so none of these
- will constitute a nuisance, and to control lighted signs and other lights so that no
- 283 disturbance to neighboring properties will result.
- The applicant is also applying for an MPCA permit and that permit will cover the
- 285 nuisances listed above. The property is also located in the middle of farm fields
- owned by the applicant as well which should minimize the nuisances to any surrounding property.
- 288 6. Additional criteria for shoreland areas. In Shoreland areas, it shall be found that adequate measures have been or will be taken to assure that:
- 290 a. Pollution. Soil erosion or other possible pollution of public waters will be prevented, both during and after construction;
- 292 NA.
- b. View from public waters. That the visibility of structures and other facilities as viewed from public waters will be limited;
- 295 NA.
- 296 c. Adequate utilities. That the site is adequate for water supply and on-site 297 sewage treatment; and
- 298 NA.
- 299 d. Watercraft. That the types, uses, and number of watercrafts that the project 300 will generate can be safely accommodated.
- 301 NA.

303 304

308 309

310311

302 Jim Kaiser seconded the motion. All in favor. Motion carried.

Informational Meeting: The next informational meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, February 8th, 2017 at 8:00 am in the Third Floor Meeting Room of the Original Courthouse.

Since there was no further business to come before the Board, John Lien made a motion to adjourn. Dave Blomseth seconded. Motion carried. The meeting adjourned.

312 ______ Jim Bruflodt, Chairman John Lien, Vice Chairman 314